Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/579,168	MULLIGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brad Duffy	1643 .
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Brad Duffy</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel A. Monaco</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	•.
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all pending		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a vecord of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Daniel Monaco with a proposed Examiner's Amendment that had been discussed with Primary Examiner Stephen Rawlings. Applicant requested a restriction requirement be made of record before agreeing to the amendment and the Examiners determined that in order to clarify the record a written restriction requirement would be mailed. The Examiners will determine the appropriateness of the proposed Examiner's Amendment after a response to the attached restriction requirement has been received.